11.9 Unsignalized Midblock Crosswalks

Background

The installation of marked crosswalks has mixed reviews. While it is desirable to give guidance to pedestrians as to the safest locations to cross highways, studies have shown that pedestrian crash rates are sometimes higher in marked crosswalks than at other locations, perhaps because the markings give pedestrians a false sense of security.23

§3542 of the Vehicle Code (relating to right-of-way of pedestrians in crosswalks), requires motorists to yield the right-of-way to pedestrians within any marked crosswalk, but this does not always happen.

The 2009 MUTCD Section 3B.18 indicates that an engineering and traffic study should be performed before crosswalks are installed at location away from highway traffic signals or STOP signs.

In a recent survey, a majority of state traffic engineers indicated that midblock crosswalks are highly discouraged in their state and are rarely installed. Currently, only a few states have any warrants or guidance for midblock crosswalks. Therefore, the purpose of this policy is to establish the direction for future unsignalized midblock crosswalks on State highways, but it is not necessary to reevaluate existing midblock crosswalks. Although the application of this policy on local roadways may be desirable, the Department currently has no authority to force municipalities to comply with this policy.

Department approval is required prior to the installation of any midblock crosswalk on a State highway; however, the installation and maintenance of the pavement markings and signs for crosswalks is the responsibility of the local authorities in accordance with $\S212.5(b)(1)(v)(T)$ of Publication 212 (relating to the installation of pavement markings for midblock crosswalks).

Minimum Requirements for New Midblock Installations

- 1. Speed Limit. The posted speed limit is 35 mph or less.
- 2. Other Marked Crosswalks. The nearest marked crosswalk on the same roadway is over 300 feet from the proposed crossing.
- 3. <u>Number of Pedestrian Crossings</u>. To qualify for midblock crosswalks, the minimum number of pedestrians crossing the street within 150 feet of the proposed crossing during an average day should be 80 or more during any 1 hour, or 40 or more during each of any 4 hours. However, if there is a high concentration of children, elderly or disabled pedestrians crossing the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, then these pedestrian volume warrants may be reduced 50 percent.
- 4. <u>Traffic Volume</u>. The maximum traffic volume on the roadway is 10,000 ADT, except on two-lane roadways the maximum traffic volume may be 15,000 ADT. If a raised median or pedestrian refuge island exists where pedestrians are protected from vehicular traffic, the maximum traffic volume applies to each segment of the pedestrian crossing, but no more than three travel lane may be crossed without a raised median or pedestrian refuge island. In order to consider a refuge island, the minimum width of the refuge island is 4 feet from face-of-curb to face-of-curb, but the preferred minimum width is 6 feet. Islands should have a cut through ramp to accommodate wheelchair users.

² Herms, B. F., "Pedestrian Crosswalk Study: Accidents in Painted and Unpainted Crosswalks" (HRR 406). Highway Research Board, Washington, D.C., 1972.

³ "City of Long Beach Crosswalk and Pedestrian Safety Study Final Report." Prepared by Willdan and Associates, Industry California, February 1986.

- 5. <u>Parking Restrictions</u>. To improve visibility, parking is not permitted within 75 feet of the crosswalk, unless a 6- to 8-foot curb extension (sometimes referred to as bulb outs, bump outs, neck downs, sidewalk expansions, etc.) is in place to improve pedestrian visibility. If angle parking is present, any curb extension should place the curb at the inside edge of the parking lane. Curb extensions not only improve visibility between motorists and the pedestrians, but they also reduce the length of the crosswalk and the pedestrian exposure. However, curb extensions may impede drainage, street cleaning and winter maintenance operations, and create a formidable object.
- 6. <u>Sight Distance</u>. The available sight distance between an approaching driver and a person anywhere within the proposed crosswalk must satisfy the following minimum values, where both the eye and the object (i.e., the pedestrian) are assumed to be 3.5 feet above the roadway:

Speed Limit (mph)	Minimum Sight Distance for a Corresponding Grade (feet)				
	-6%	level	6%		
25	215	200	184		
30	271	250	229		
35	333	305	278		

TE-113 (7-09)

MID-BLOCK CROSSWALK ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC STUDY





		www.dot.stat	e.pa.us			
A - LOCATION INFORMATION						
COUNTY		MUNICIPALITY				
STREET NAME		TOWNSHIP ROAD #				
SR#		SEGMENT				
B - REFERENCE INFORMATION						
REFERENCE	SECTION(S)					
Chapter 212	212.5(b)(1)	(v)(T)				
REFERENCE MUTCD	SECTION(S) 3B.17					
REFERENCE	SECTION(S)					
PUB 46	Chapter 11.	9				
Vehicle Code Title 75 P.a. C.S.	SECTION(S) \$ 3542					
REFERENCE	SECTION(S)					
TC-8600	Sheet 4 of 8	3				
C - STUDY ELEMENTS						
FROM PUB 212 APPENDIX: Crash Analysis (1)	aht Diotonoo (16)	C Otto a vic				
	ght Distance (16) Other:					
	peed Data (17) raffic Volumes (20)					
D - ATTACHMENTS LISTING						
Check those that apply and attach to this form in ☐ 1. 10-Day Response Letter ☐	the order listed beloved 7. Crash Extract	w:	☐ 13. Traffic/Pedestrian Volumes			
2. Letter or Memo Requesting Study	8. Crash Rate		13. Ifamore destriair volumes 14. STAMPP Identification Data			
3. Location Map	9. Collision Diagram	Plot	15. Speed Limit			
4. Straight Line Diagram	10. Speed Study		16. Traffic Signal Permit Plan			
5. Photographs	11. Warrant Analysis		17. Other			
6. Field View Drawing or Condition Diagram	12. Multi-Way Stop or T	ruck Restriction Worksheet				
Confidential - Traffic Engineering and Safety Study						
This document is the property of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Transportation. The data and information contained						
herein are part of a traffic engineering and safety study. This safety study is only provided to those official agencies or persons who have						
responsibility in the highway transportation system and may only be used by such agencies or persons for traffic safety related planning or research. The document and information are confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S.3754 and 23 U.S.C. 409 and may not be published,						
reproduced, released or discussed without the written permission of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation.						

E - SITE OBSERVATION CHE	CKLIST						
Operational Checklist:		A STATE OF THE STA					
1. Do obstructions block a driver	's view of pedestrians or approaching vehicle	es? YES	□ NO □ N/A				
	o signals, signs, or other traffic control device		□ NO □ N/A				
	kid marks, property damage, tree/bush damage, brol		□ NO □ N/A				
	or other traffic regulations?		□ NO □ N/A				
	pout routes, street names, or other guidance	_	□ NO □ N/A				
6. Have you observed the location during peak hours for volume, crashes, and traffic operations? YES NO							
6. Have you observed the location during peak hours for volume, crashes, and traffic operations? YES NO N/A 7. Are there traffic flow deficiencies or traffic conflict patterns associated with turning movements? YES NO N/A							
8. Are there significant delays and/or congestion?							
	conflicts?		□ NO □ N/A				
·			□NO □N/A				
10. Are there other traffic flow deficiencies or traffic conflict patterns?							
Physical Checklist:							
	oved or lessened?	_	∐NO ∐N/A				
2. Do the street alignments or widths adequately accommodate the type of traffic using the roadway? YES							
3. Are curb radii adequate for turning vehicles?							
·	4. Are pedestrian crosswalks properly located?						
	ulness, message, size, conformity, and place	<u></u>	∐ NO				
	o placement, visibility, glare, conformity, number		∐ NO				
7. Are pavement markings adequ	ate as to their conformance to standards and	d location? YES	NO N/A				
8. Is channelization (islands or pa	avement markings) adequate for reducing co	nflict areas,					
separating traffic flows, and d	efining movements?	YES	☐ NO ☐ N/A				
Does the existing legal parking	g layout affect sight distance for through or to	ırning vehicles? YES	☐ NO ☐ N/A				
10. Is the pavement condition free	e of potholes, washboard, slick surface, etc.?	YES	☐ NO ☐ N/A				
E CITE DATA							
F - SITE DATA DATE DATA COLLECTED	PERSON CONDUCTING STUDY	TITLE					
DATE DATA COLLECTED	FERSON CONDUCTING STUDY	11166					
· · · ·							
	adway?						
	es at the proposed crosswalk?						
4. Are sidewalks present?							
5. Is parking permitted in the area of the proposed crosswalk? YES NO							
What distance is the parking area from the proposed crosswalk?							
7. Is curbing present? YES NO If yes, does curbing include a curb extension? YES NO							
7/50 7							
8. Is the distance to the nearest marked crosswalk greater than 300 feet?							
5. What is the exact location of the	, proposed crosswam (se as specime as pecon						
10. Is the traffic volume on the road	way 10,000 ADT or less?	YE	s NO				
	me 15,000 ADT or less?		s 🗆 NO				
, ,		_	Secretarial				

This traffic engineering and safety study is confidential pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. 3754 and 23 U.S.C. 409 and may not be disclosed or used in litigation without written permission from PennDOT.